- 1 R1: Q1: It is hard to understand what Remark 6 conveys.
- 2 A: Yes, the error bound condition refers to the inequality in Lemma 1. Lemma 1 implies that the error bound condition
- 3 is weaker than the PL condition. Remark 6 means that our analysis only requires the error bound condition to hold
- 4 though we assume the PL condition at the beginning since it is more widely known in the deep learning literature. The
- <sup>5</sup> reason that we include this remark is that our experiments directly verifies the error bound condition.
- 6
- 7 R1: Q2: how the bound can affect or guide a specific choice of K in stagewise SGD.
- 8 A: The value of K depends on the choice of  $\hat{\epsilon}$  (i.e., optimization error). Theoretically, the testing error bound (e.g.,
- 9 Theorem 5) allows us to find an  $\epsilon$  that balances the optimization error and the generalization error. However, it only
- <sup>10</sup> affects K in a logarithmic way. In practice, it is just a small number.
- 11
- 12 R1: Q3: It might be better to use "START" in the paper title and Figure 1, instead of "SGD".
- 13 A: Thanks for the suggestion! We will make the change. Indeed, "stagewise SGD (Vx)" are variants of START with
- 14 different algorithmic choices. Their common feature is the stagewise step size scheme. stagewise SGD (V1) does not
- use algorithmic regularization (with  $\gamma = \infty$ ). SGD( $c/\sqrt{t}$ ) and SGD(c/t) refer to the vanilla SGD (not covered by
- 16 START) using two different polynomially decreasing step sizes. The comparison between stagewise SGD (Vx) and the
- vanilla SGD demonstrates the importance of the stagewise step size scheme, which is the key point of this paper.
- 18
- 19 R1: Q4: This paper mentions "Corollary 2" several times.
- <sup>20</sup> A: Sorry for the confusion. Corollary 2 should be Theorem 2.
- 21
- R1: Q5: In Line 49, definition of  $\mu$ . In Line 123, the assumption  $|f(w, z)| \le 1$  is strong.
- 23 A:  $\mu$  refers to the constant in the inequality (PL condition) just before line 49 (between line 46 and line 47). We will
- make it clear. The boundness assumption on  $f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$  is only used in the stability analysis for non-convex loss functions.
- This is following the analysis in [13]. Since a general upper bound  $|f(w, z)| \le M$  only affects the result by a constant
- <sup>26</sup> factor, we said without loss of generality.
- 27 R2: We thank R2 for all comments.
- 28 R2: Q1: what is the main take-away message for me after reading this paper.
- 29 A: In this paper, we focus on comparing two different step size schemes instead of challenging the classical framework
- 30 that either analyzes the optimization error convergence or the generalization error of SGD with a particular step size
- 31 scheme. Most existing theoretical analysis of SGD uses a polynomially decreasing step size or a small step size. However,
- in practice people mostly use a stagewise step size for SGD, which decreases in a stagewise fashion geometrically.
- 33 The main takeway message of this paper is that we give the first theory to justify why the widely used stagewise
- 34 step size scheme gives faster convergence than a polynomially decreasing step size, i.e., the stagewise step size
- scheme can adapt to the nice properties of deep neural networks. That is why we compare the results in Theorem
- <sup>36</sup> 5 and Theorem 9 (using a stagewise step size scheme) with the result in Theorem 2 (using a polynomially decreasing
- step size), and in Figure 1 we compare stagewise SGD with SGD with a polynomially decreasing step size.
- R2: Q2: Is  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  a random variable, or a random probability measure.
- 39 A: We use  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  to denote a randomized model returned by the algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  based on the dataset S. Basically it is a
- <sup>40</sup> random variable. Please refer to line 89. So  $f(\mathcal{A}(S), Z)$  means the loss of the randomized model found by algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$
- 41 on a random data Z.
- 42 R2: Q3: Why is there a  $\leq$  in the error decomposition after line 96?
- 43 A: Yes, it is indeed an equality.
- <sup>44</sup> R2: Q4: It seems that  $F_w^{\gamma}$  is never used in this routine? What does the function O represent at stage 5 of Algorithm 2? <sup>45</sup> A: We will find a better way to present it. The structure of  $F_w^{\gamma}$  that decomposes to the original objective and a quadratic
- regularizer is used in Algorithm 2. The function  $\mathcal{O}$  returns a solution given a sequence of intermediate solutions.
- <sup>47</sup> R2: Q5: Line 257: I am confused by the way you want to choose theta. I assume you want theta to be very large?
- <sup>48</sup> A: We expect a larger  $\theta$  in order to explain the advantage of stagewise SGD compared with the vanilla SGD with a
- <sup>49</sup> polynomially decreasing step size, since the vanilla SGD has a complexity of  $O(1/(\mu^2 \epsilon))$  for reaching an  $\epsilon$ -level of
- <sup>50</sup> optimization error and the considered stagewise SGD has a complexity of  $O(1/(\theta^2 \mu \epsilon))$ . Our results in Theorem 6 and
- 51 Theorem 9 indicate that the larger the  $\theta$ , the faster the convergence of optimization error and the smaller of the testing
- <sup>52</sup> error. Nevertheless,  $\theta$  is a property of the function. A convex function has  $\theta \ge 1$ . Our experiments verify that for deep

<sup>53</sup> neural networks  $\theta$  is also around 1.

- Response to R3: Thanks for the comments. The green curve is actually for the  $\mu$  values across all iterations (the same
- as  $\theta$ ). We just add a number to mark its average value so that readers can have a sense how small is the  $\mu$  as the curve is
- <sup>56</sup> almost on zero. We will add discussion to discuss the limitation of the presented results.