We thank the reviewers for their supportive comments. In particular, we are glad that the reviewers state that our work is an "original, high-quality, and clearly explained contribution to the field of machine learning" that "facilitates future methodological contributions" by providing a dataset that is expected to "have a significant impact on the field perhaps becoming a new benchmark". We have addressed questions and comments below.

**Reviewer 1:** Please discuss what precisely is the added benefit of the presented dataset over the data used in the cited related work on biology applications as well as computer vision.

To our knowledge, COOS is the most extensive biological image dataset for measuring generalization under covariate shifts to date. Previous work recognizes the importance of demonstrating robustness, but have been limited in their ability to measure these effects. These studies rely on adapting pre-existing datasets, which are not designed with the purpose of measuring covariate shifts. For example, while [1] measure generalization under batch effects by holding out experimental batches, this procedure reduces the number of classes evaluated, as some classes are only imaged within a single batch. Similarly, while [2] provides replicates of yeast microscopy screens under the same treatment, the arrangement of proteins on plates remains the same each time, prohibiting the analysis of well effects.

In contrast, our experimental design centers around randomized plate arrangements and the replication of experiments over time, directly enabling stratification of the dataset by multiple kinds of covariate shifts. Our test sets encompass covariate shifts not typically seen in other datasets: few microscopy datasets replicate experiments at different sites/microscopes. Finally, we organized, preprocessed, and balanced the test sets around this metadata. Although these procedures could be performed on other microscopy datasets, they are non-trivial for researchers not familiar with the domain. Our standardized archives therefore make these images more accessible to the machine learning community.

While many other computer vision datasets focus on domain adaptation, ours is more similar to [3] in that we show that even in-domain generalization is challenging, although we provide a simpler problem, with controlled and known covariate shifts. If accepted, we will update the introduction in the camera-ready version to better contextualize our contributions with previous image datasets.

**Reviewer 1:** Please discuss the relevance of the considered classification task for applications in biology.

Classifying protein localization is a major problem in cell biology, as a protein’s localization strongly relates with its function [4]. Along other applications, accurately classifying protein location in cells under stress or drug treatments can lead to identification of the key proteins that drive disease [5]. In our dataset, we specifically chose proteins that were distinct examples of the different ways proteins can localize in a cell in general, making it a representative test case for how well these classifiers generalize under the typical covariate shifts present in microscopy experiments. We expect that improving our baselines will yield methods for more robust and generalizable prediction of protein localization.

While we focused on the uses of COOS for method development, we agree that researchers developing methods would appreciate an overview of their biological contributions. We will update the discussion in the camera-ready version.

**Reviewer 1:** They do not evaluate any method for unsupervised transfer learning on the test sets. There are probably good reasons for limiting the evaluation this way. A respective discussion would improve clarity.

We agree that there is a wide range of possible strategies on this dataset, unsupervised transfer learning among them, and will highlight some of these options for future work in the discussion of the camera-ready version.

**Reviewer 3:** Deposit the dataset in a repository. Provide details of the license under which the dataset is being distributed.

We have deposited our dataset in Zenodo, under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 license. In the camera-ready version, we will update the manuscript to provide a link and details on the license.

**Reviewer 3:** Provide more details on the imaging methods. Redo table 3 to use colors or bars to represent differences among different classifiers. Reference similar class of problems in genomics and MRI.

We will update the camera-ready version of our manuscript to incorporate these details.
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